### Final Exam

(June 21, 2021, **2 hours**)

Econometrics (Spring 2021)

Professor: Wonmun Shin

#### Part I

### True or False

(Total 40 points) Read each statement below carefully. Write a T if you think the statement is True. Write an F if you think the statement is false. Do not forget to state a reason precisely if you answer F. (Grading guide: If your answer (with a reason in case F) is correct, you will get 5 points. If your answer is partially correct (*i.e.* F without a reason, F with an incorrect reason, etc.), you will get partial points.)

1. In the multiple regression models, testing the individual significance of all regression coefficients (using ttest) and testing the overall significance of all coefficients using  $R^2$  are the same and hence will give the same conclusion.

2. In order to prove Gauss-Markov Theorem, normality of regression error should be assumed.

3. Since  $E(\hat{\beta}_2) = \beta_2$  in the simple regression, the OLS estimator  $\hat{\beta}_2$  is consistent when sample size gets large.

4. Under near multicollinearity, the classical assumptions are satisfied and hence an OLS estimator is the BLUE (best linear unbiased estimator) by Gauss-Markov theorem.

5. Heteroskedasticity occurs when the error term in a regression model is correlated with one of the explanatory variables.

6. In the simple regression model, suppose we multiply each  $X_i$  value by a constant, say 2. Then, it will not change the residuals  $(\hat{e}_i)$  and fitted values of  $Y(\hat{Y}_i)$ .

7. The more observations you have, you will get more accurate estimates. Therefore,  $R^2$  will always be higher if you have more observations.

8. The higher is the value of  $\sigma^2$  (variance of regression error  $e_i$ ), the larger is the variance of OLS estimator  $\hat{\beta}_2$  in the simple regression.

# Part II Short Questions

1. (10 points) Consider a two-variable simple regression, *i.e.*  $Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + e_i$ . Student A thinks that a slope parameter  $\beta_2$  is more important and an intercept is not necessary, so she estimates  $Y_i = \beta_2^A X_i + e_i$  without an intercept though the true model is  $Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + e_i$ . In case, her OLS estimator  $\hat{\beta}_2^A$  is an unbiased estimator of the true parameter  $\beta_2$ ? (Yes or No without any explanation will be 0 points.)

2. (10 points) Student B is trying to analyze the presidential election of Sejong University Student Association. Assume that Sejong University has 4 schools (Economics School, Engineering School, Humanities School, and Arts School). She thinks that perhaps concern with tuition was relevant, as well as school differences. She decides to estimate a regression model where the dependent variable is the percentage of votes cast for Candidate A across departments (50 departments). The number of observation is 50. She has the following explanatory variables: tuition (per semester) by department, school dummy variables for whether a department is included in the Economics School, Engineering School, or Humanities School. (i) Write down a model including all of the variables (Be sure to define what dummy variables are, that is, when they take on what values). (ii) How would Student B test the hypothesis that "there is no school difference"? Write down the null hypothesis and (iii) clarify which test she would use (If an F-test, write down the restricted model).

3. (10 points) Student C obtained the following results from 10 observations :

$$\overline{X} = 5, \quad \overline{Y} = 6$$
  
 $\sum (X_i - \overline{X})^2 = 100 \qquad \sum X_i Y_i = 100$ 

Suppose that Student C wants to obtain the OLS estimators for a simple regression model,  $Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + e_i$ . Using the above results, calculate  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_2$ .

4. (10 points) Consider the following Cobb-Douglas production function as

$$Y_i = \alpha L_i^{\beta} K_i^{\gamma} e^{e_i}$$
$$\implies \ln Y_i = \ln \alpha + \beta \ln L_i + \gamma \ln K_i + e_i$$

and now Student D wants to test the CRS (Constant Returns to Scale):

 $H_0: \ \beta + \gamma = 1 \ \Rightarrow$  Constant Returns to Scale

(i) Set up the restricted regression model, and (ii) test the null hypothesis of the CRS based on the following results (n = 203) (Note: please refer to the probability table on the last page.)

Restricted Model:  $R^2 = 0.94$ Unrestricted Model: TSS = 100, RSS = 5

## Part III Long Questions

1. (Total 20 points) Consider the simple regression model

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + e_i$$

Assume we have heteroskedasticity problem and the variance of regression errors are known as

$$Var\left(e_{i}\right) = \sigma^{2}X_{i}$$

and  $X_i$  is deterministic,  $E(e_i) = 0$  for all i,  $Cov(e_i, e_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

(a) (5 points) Is OLS estimator for  $\beta_2$  unbiased and linear?

(b) (5 points) Transform the regression model for GLS estimation. What is the variance of transformed error  $e_i^*$ ? Is  $e_i^*$  homoskedastic?

(c) (5 points) Is the GLS estimator for  $\beta_2$  BLUE (best linear unbiased estimator)? Explain.

(d) (5 points) Explain FGLS (feasible GLS) estimation in the above case (*Tip: We have information about*  $Var(e_i)$ , then the GLS estimation is infeasible?).

2. (Total 20 points) Consider the following regression models:

**Model 1**:  $Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + e_i$  **Model 2**:  $Y_i^* = \beta_1^* + \beta_2^* X_i^* + e_i^*$ **Model 3**:  $\ln Y_i = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \ln X_i + u_i$ 

where  $Y_i^* = 2Y_i$  and  $X_i^* = \frac{1}{2}X_i$ . The **Model 3** is a log-linear model by taking log on both dependent variable and regressor in **Model 1**. Assume that the classical assumptions are satisfied.

(a) (4 points) Find the OLS estimators of  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  in Model 1.

(b) (4 points) Find the OLS estimators of  $\beta_1^*$  and  $\beta_2^*$  in Model 2. Are they identical to  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_2$  you obtained in (a)?

(c) (4 points) Is the  $R^2$  different between Model 1 and Model 2?

(d) (4 points) Discuss the difference between the OLS estimator of  $\beta_2$  in Model 1 and that of  $\alpha_2$  in Model 3, in terms of interpretation.

(e) (4 points) Is the  $R^2$  different between Model 1 and Model 3?

3. (Total 40 points) Suppose you have 254 observations on house sales in Seoul. Consider the linear regression model:

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + \beta_4 D_i X_{2i} + e_i, \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, 254$$

where

 $\begin{array}{ll} Y_i: & \text{Sales Price (in million won)} \\ X_{2i}: & \text{Size (in } m^2) \\ X_{3i}: & \text{Number of rooms in a house} \\ \\ D_i: & \text{Location dummy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if house is in the South} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

Assume that the errors are homoskedastic and uncorrelated.

(a) (5 points) Compare the expected value of house sales price,  $E(Y_i)$ , in the South with one in elsewhere. Briefly interpret the difference.

(b) (5 points) You want to calculate the change of sales price of a house in the South when a  $10m^2$ -room is added to a house. How much will you expect to get more on average (in terms of expected value), assuming everything else being held constant?

(c) (5 points) You estimate the regression equation as

 $\hat{Y}_{i} = \frac{12}{(0.10)} + \frac{20}{(1.5)} X_{2i} + \frac{10}{(3.5)} X_{3i} + \frac{5}{(0.5)} D_{i} X_{2i}$   $n = 254 \quad RSS = 5,000 \quad ESS = 15,000$ (standard errors in parentheses)

Now obtain the estimate of change the sales price of **a house in the South** when **a 10m^2-room** is added to a house.

(d) (5 points) Based on the estimates, test the significance of  $\hat{\beta}_4$  with 5% significance level. (Note: please refer to the probability table on the last page.)

(e) (5 points) Report  $R^2$  of this regression.

(f) (5 points) Report the adjusted  $R^2 \left(=\overline{R}^2\right)$  of this regression. Is it greater than  $R^2$ ?

(g) (5 points) Test the overall significance of the model based on the estimates. You should clarify what is a test statistic and its distribution. (Note: please refer to the probability table on the last page.)

(h) (5 points) Calculate the OLS estimator of  $\sigma^2$  using the above regression output.

4. (Total 10 points) Suppose you have a cross-sectional data set of workers from census data. You want to run a regression of their salaries  $(Y_i)$  on the years of education  $(X_i)$  and the years of working experience  $(Z_i)$ . Now you want to compare the wage level of workers of the same age, so you have chosen 50 workers who were born in 1986 from your census data. However, years of working experience  $(Z_i)$  is not available in the

data set, so you decided to use

years of working experience  $(Z_i) = \text{age} - \text{years of education } (X_i) - \text{pre-education years}$ 

Since all the 50 persons in your observations are 36 years old (and we can assume that pre-education years is 5), this becomes

$$Z_i = 36 - X_i - 5 = 31 - X_i$$

You have run the GRETL program based on the following regression model

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + \beta_3 Z_i + e_i$$

and you encountered the following result and it showed an error message "Omitted due to ( ? ):  $Z_i$ ". There were only the values of  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_2$ .

Model 1: OLS, using observations 1–50				
Dependent variable: salaries				
Omitted due to ( ? ): $Z_i$				
	Coefficient	Std. Error	<i>t</i> -ratio	p-value
$\operatorname{const}$	-6.71033	1.91416	-3.506	0.0005
$X_i$	1.98029	0.136117	14.55	0.0000

(a) (5 points) Fill in the blank (?).

(b) (5 points) Explain why the program omitted the variable of years of working experience  $(Z_i)$ . If the model maintains  $Z_i$  in the regression model, what happens?

#### • Probability table for Final Exam:

- $-P(\chi^{2}(1) \geq 3.841) = 0.05, P(\chi^{2}(1) \geq 5.023) = 0.025, P(\chi^{2}(1) \geq 7.879) = 0.01 \text{ for } \chi^{2} \text{ distribution}$ with degrees of freedom 1.
- $-P(\chi^{2}(2) \geq 5.991) = 0.05, P(\chi^{2}(2) \geq 7.377) = 0.025, P(\chi^{2}(2) \geq 9.210) = 0.01 \text{ for } \chi^{2} \text{ distribution with degrees of freedom 2.}$
- $-P(\chi^{2}(3) \geq 7.814) = 0.05, P(\chi^{2}(3) \geq 9.348) = 0.025, P(\chi^{2}(3) \geq 11.34) = 0.01 \text{ for } \chi^{2} \text{ distribution with degrees of freedom 3.}$
- $-P(F(1,+200) \ge 3.84) = 0.05, P(F(1,+200) \ge 6.63) = 0.01$  for F distribution with degrees of freedom (1,+200). (+200 indicates for more than 200 degrees of freedom.)
- $-P(F(2,+200) \ge 3.00) = 0.05, P(F(2,+200) \ge 4.61) = 0.01$  for F distribution with degrees of freedom (2,+200). (+200 indicates for more than 200 degrees of freedom.)
- $-P(F(3,+200) \ge 2.60) = 0.05, P(F(3,+200) \ge 3.78) = 0.01$  for F distribution with degrees of freedom (3,+200). (+200 indicates for more than 200 degrees of freedom.)
- P(Z ≥ 1.28) = 0.1, P(Z ≥ 1.645) = 0.05, P(Z ≥ 1.96) = 0.025, P(Z ≥ 2.58) = 0.005 where Z is a standard normal random variable.