## Random Regressors

#### Class 12

### Wonmun Shin (wonmun.shin@sejong.ac.kr)

Department of Economics, Sejong University

\* This lecture note is written based on Professor Chang Sik Kim's lecture notes.

# Random Regressors

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

- Recall the classical assumptions in the simple regression model:
  - (A1) Independent variable (X) is not random but deterministic.
  - (A2)  $E(e_i) = 0$
  - (A3)  $Var(e_i) = \sigma^2$  Homoskedasticity
  - (A4)  $Cov(e_i, e_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  No Autocorrelation
- We do not allow randomness in the regressors, which is obviously a restrictive assumption.
  - (A1) is clearly not realistic in many economic models, but we use this for mathematical simplicity.

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト 二日 二

- However, even if we allow stochastic regressors, the properties of the OLS estimator still hold under slightly modified assumptions.
  - (A1)'  $Cov(X_i, e_i) = 0$  No correlation between  $X_i$  and  $e_i$
  - (A2)'  $E(e_i \mid X_i) = 0$
  - (A3)' Var  $(e_i | X_i) = \sigma^2$  Homoskedasticity
  - (A4)' Cov  $(e_i, e_j | X_i, X_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  No Autocorrelation

イロン イボン イヨン イヨン 三日

# Endogeneity Issue and IV Regression

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

- When X<sub>i</sub> is random, it might be possible that X<sub>i</sub> is correlated with the error term (e<sub>i</sub>) → Cov (X<sub>i</sub>, e<sub>i</sub>) ≠ 0
- Example: earning (Y) and years of schooling (X)

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + e_i$$

- *e<sub>i</sub>* embodies all factors other than schooling that determines earnings, such as <u>*ability*!</u>
- Ability affects earnings as well as years of schooling.  $\rightarrow$  There is an association between X and e!

<ロ> <四> <四> <四> <三</p>

### Endogeneity Issue [cont'd]

- **Q.** What are the consequence of the correlation between X and e?
  - Higher levels of X have two effect on Y!
    - Direct effect :  $\beta_2 X_i$ 
      - Indirect effect : e affecting X, which in turn affects Y
    - The goal of regression is to estimate only the first effect, yielding an estimate of β<sub>2</sub>.
    - But, the OLS estimate will combine two effects!

$$Y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X + e(X)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{dY}{dX} = \beta_2 + \frac{de}{dX}$$

- The OLS estimate lets us know the total effect  $\beta_2 + de/dX$  rather than  $\beta_2$  alone.
- A. The OLS estimator is **biased** and **inconsistent**!

- When X<sub>i</sub> is endogenous, there may be an association between regressor and error. → Cov (X<sub>i</sub>, e<sub>i</sub>) ≠ 0
  - Source of endogeneity: measurement error in X, omitted-variable bias, reverse causality, · · · .

#### Endogeneity Issue

- Without (A1)', the OLS estimator is not unbiased and not consistent.
- That is, the OLS estimator does not converge to the true parameter even in a very large sample.
- Moreover, none of usual testings and estimations are valid in this case.

## Instrumental Variable (IV) Estimation

- Problems for OLS arises when X is random and correlated with the error, so that  $Cov(X_i, e_i) \neq 0$ .
- Suppose there exists another variable, say  $Z_i$ , which satisfies

 $Cov(Z_i, e_i) = 0$  (Exogeneity)  $Cov(Z_i, X_i) \neq 0$  (Relevance)

- Z<sub>i</sub> is called **instrumental variable** (IV), or "instrument".
- **Example:** earning (*Y*) and years of schooling (*X*)
  - Card (1995) : Proximity to college or university (Z)
  - Angrist and Krueger (1991) : Month of birth (Z)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Instrumental Variable (IV) Estimation [cont'd]

#### IV estimator

$$\hat{\beta}_{2}^{IV} = \frac{\Sigma\left(Z_{i} - \bar{Z}\right)\left(Y_{i} - \bar{Y}\right)}{\Sigma\left(Z_{i} - \bar{Z}\right)\left(X_{i} - \bar{X}\right)} = \frac{\Sigma z_{i} y_{i}}{\Sigma z_{i} x_{i}}$$

• where  $z_i = Z_i - \overline{Z}$ ,  $x_i = X_i - \overline{X}$ , and  $y_i = Y_i - \overline{Y}$ 

- IV estimators are consistent estimators (but, not unbiased and not efficient).
- **Example:** earning (*Y*) and years of schooling (*X*)
  - Suppose one unit change in Z is associated with 0.2 more years of schooling and with a \$500 increase in annual earnings.
  - Then, a one year increase in X is associated with 500/0.2 = 2,500 increase in Y.

$$\beta_2^{IV} = \frac{dY/dZ}{dX/dZ}$$

<ロ> <四> <四> <四> <三</p>

## Instrumental Variable (IV) Estimation [cont'd]

$$\hat{\beta}_{2}^{IV} = \frac{\frac{\sum z_{i}y_{i}}{\sum z_{i}^{2}}}{\frac{\sum z_{i}x_{i}}{\sum z_{i}^{2}}} = \frac{\sum z_{i}y_{i}}{\sum z_{i}x_{i}}$$

- In practice, it is often estimated by the two-stage least squares (2SLS).
  - [1st stage] Regress X on Z o Obtain  $\hat{X}$
  - [2nd stage] Regress Y on  $\hat{X} 
    ightarrow$  Obtain  $\hat{eta}_2^{IV}$